arXiv:1301.5774v1 [math.DG] 24 Jan 2013

HALF-LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS WITH PLANAR NORMAL SECTIONS IN R_2^4

FEYZA ESRA ERDOĞAN, RIFAT GÜNEŞ, AND BAYRAM ŞAHİN

Abstract. In the present paper we give condition for a half-lightlike hypersurfaces of R_2^4 to have degenerate or non-degenerate planar normal sections.

1. Introduction

Surfaces with planar normal sections in Euclidean spaces were first studied by Bang-Yen Chen [6]. In [7], Y. H. Kim initiated the study of semi-Riemannian setting of such surfaces. Both authors obtained similar results in these spaces. But as far as we know, half-lightlike submanifold with planar normal sections have not been studied so far. In this paper we study half-lightlike hypersurfaces with planar normal sections in R_2^4.

Let M be a hypersurface in R_2^4. For a point p in M and a lightlike vector ξ tangent to M at p which span radical distribution, the vector ξ and transversal space $tr(TM)$ to M at p determine a 2- dimensional subspace $E(p,\xi)$ in R_2^4 through p. The intersection of M and $E(p,\xi)$ gives a lightlike curve γ in a neighborhood of p, which is called the normal section of M at the point p in the direction of ξ. Let w be a spacelike vector tangent to M at p ($v \in S(TM)$). Then the vector w and transversal space $tr(TM)$ to M at p determine a 2- dimensional subspace $E(p,v)$ in R_2^4 through p. In this case, the intersection of M and $E(p,v)$ gives a spacelike curve γ in a neighborhood of p which is called the normal section of M at p in the direction of v. According to both situation given above, M is said to have degenerate pointwise and non-degenerate pointwise planar normal sections, respectively if each normal section γ at p satisfies $\gamma' \wedge \gamma'' \wedge \gamma''' = 0$ [6], [8], [5], [9].

2. Preliminaries

Let $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ be an $(m+2)$-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of index $q \geq 1$ and (M,g) a lightlike submanifold of codimension 2 of $\bar{M}$. Since g is degenerate, there exists locally a vector field $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then, for each tangent space T_xM we consider

$$T_xM^{\perp} = \left\{u \in T_x\bar{M} : \bar{g}(u,v) = 0, \forall v \in T_xM\right\}$$

which is a degenerate 2-codimensional subspace of $T_x\bar{M}$. Since M is lightlike, both T_xM and $T_xM^{\perp}$ are degenerate orthogonal subspaces but no longer complementary. In this case the dimension of $RadT_xM = T_xM \cap T_xM^{\perp}$ depends on the point $x \in M$. We denote the radical distribution of a lightlike submanifold by $RadTM$. Then there exists a complementary non-degenerate distribution $S(TM)$ to $RadTM$ in TM, called a screen distribution of M, with the orthogonal distribution

$$TM = RadTM \oplus_{orth} S(TM).$$

Definition 2.1. *The submanifold* $(M, g, S(TM))$ *is called a half-lightlike submanifold if* $\dim(RadTM) = 1$. *The term half-lightlike has been used since for this class* $(TM)^{\perp}$ *is half lightlike. On the other hand, if* $\dim(RadTM) = 2$, *then,* $RadTM = (TM)^{\perp}$ *and* $(M, g, S(TM))$ *is called a co-isotropic submanifold. In this section, we present results on half-lightlike submanifolds for which there exist* $\xi, u \in T_xM^{\perp}$ *such that*

$$\bar{g}(\xi, v) = 0, \quad \bar{g}(u, u) \neq 0, \quad \forall v \in T_xM^{\perp}.$$

The above relations imply that $\xi \in T_xM$, *so* $\xi \in RadT_xM$. *Therefore, locally there exists a lightlike vector field* ξ *on* M *such that*

$$\bar{g}(\xi, X) = \bar{g}(\xi, u) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad u \in \Gamma\left(TM^{\perp}\right).$$

Thus, the 1-dimensional $RadTM$ *of a half-lightlike submanifold* M *is locally spanned by* ξ. *In this case there exists a supplementary distribution* $S(TM)$ *to* $RadTM$ *in* TM. *Next, consider the orthogonal complementary distribution* $S(TM^{\perp})$ *to* $S(TM)$ *in* $T\bar{M}$. *Certainly* ξ *and* u *belong to* $\Gamma\left(S(TM)^{\perp}\right)$. *From now on, we choose* u *as a unit vector field and put*

$$\bar{g}(u, u) = \epsilon$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$. *Since* $RadTM$ *is a 1-dimensional vector sub-bundle of* $TM^{\perp}$ *we may consider a supplementary distribution* D *to* $RadTM$ *such that it is locally represented by* u. *We call* D *a screen transversal bundle of* M. *Hence we have the orthogonal decomposition*

$$S(TM)^{\perp} = D \perp D^{\perp},$$

where $D^{\perp}$ *is orthogonal complementary distribution to* D *in* $S(TM)^{\perp}$. *Taking into account that* $D^{\perp}$ *is non-degenerate and* $\xi \in \Gamma\left(D^{\perp}\right)$, *there exists a unique locally defined vector field* $N \in \Gamma\left(D^{\perp}\right)$, *satisfying*

$$\bar{g}(N, \xi) \neq 0, \quad \bar{g}(N, N) = \bar{g}(N, u) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

if and only if N *is given by*

$$N = \frac{1}{\bar{g}(V, \xi)}\left\{V - \frac{\bar{g}(V, V)}{2\bar{g}(V, \xi)}\xi\right\}, \quad V \in \Gamma\left(F_{|U}\right) \tag{2.2}$$

such that $\bar{g}(\xi, V) \neq 0$. *Here,* F *is a complementary vector bundle of* $RadTM$ *in* $D^{\perp}$. *Hence* N *is a lightlike vector field which is neither tangent to* M *nor collinear with* u *since* $\bar{g}(u, \xi) = 0$. *If we choose* $\xi^* = \alpha\xi$ *on another neighborhood of coordinates, then we obtain* $N^* = \frac{1}{\alpha}N$. *Thus we say that the vector bundle* $tr(TM)$ *defined over* M *by*

$$tr(TM) = D \oplus_{orth} Itr(TM),$$

where $Itr(TM)$ *is a 1-dimensional vector bundle locally represented by* N, *is the lightlike transversal bundle of* M *with respect to the screen distribution* $S(TM)$. *Therefore,*

$$\begin{aligned} T\bar{M} &= S(TM) \perp (RadTM \oplus tr(TM)) \\ &= S(TM) \perp D \perp (RadTM \oplus Itr(TM)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

as per decomposition (2.3), choose the field of frames $\{\xi, F_1, ..., F_{m-1}\}$ *and* $\{\xi, F_1, ..., F_{m-1}, u, N\}$ *on* M *and* $\bar{M}$ *respectively, where* $\{F_1, ..., F_{m-1}\}$ *is an orthonormal basis of* $\Gamma(S(TM))$ [4].

Denote by P the projection of TM on $S(TM)$ with respect to the decomposition (2.3) then we write

$$X = PX + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM),$$

where η is a local differential 1-form on M defined by $\eta(X) = g(X, N)$. Suppose $\bar{\nabla}$ is the metric connection on $\bar{M}$. Since $\{\xi, N\}$ is locally a pair of lightlike sections on $U \subset M$, we define symmetric $F(M)$-bilinear forms D_1 and D_2 and 1-forms $\rho_1, \rho_2, \varepsilon_1$ and ε_2 on U. Using (2.3), we put

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + D_1(X,Y)N + D_2(X,Y)u \tag{2.4}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \rho_1(X)N + \rho_2(X)u \tag{2.5}$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_X u = -A_u X + \varepsilon_1(X)N + \varepsilon_2(X)u \tag{2.6}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where $\nabla_X Y$, $A_N X$ and $A_u X$ belong to $\Gamma(TM)$. We called D_1 and D_2 the lightlike second fundamental form and screen second fundamental form of M with respect to $tr(TM)$ respectively. Both A_N and A_u are linear operators on $\Gamma(TM)$. The first one is $\Gamma(S(TM))$-valued, called the shape operator of M. Since u is a unit vector field, (2.6) implies $\varepsilon_2(X) = 0$. In a similar way, since ξ and N are lightlike vector fields, from (2.4)-(2.6) we obtain

$$D_1(X,\xi) = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\bar{g}(A_N X, N) = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\bar{g}(A_u X, Y) = \epsilon D_2(X,Y) + \varepsilon_1(X)\eta(Y) \tag{2.9}$$

$$\varepsilon_1(X) = -\epsilon D_2(X,\xi), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM) \tag{2.10}$$

Next, consider the decomposition (2.3) then we have

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla^*_X PY + E_1(X, PY)\xi \tag{2.11}$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = -A^*_\xi X + u_1(X)\xi \tag{2.12}$$

where $\nabla^*_X PY$ and A^*_ξ belong to $\Gamma(S(TM))$. A^*_ξ is a linear operator on $\Gamma(TM)$ and ∇^* is a metric connection on $S(TM)$. We call E_1 the local second fundamental form of $S(TM)$ with to respect to $Rad(TM)$ and A^*_ξ the shape operator of the screen distribution. The geometric object from Gauss and Weingarten equations (2.4)-(2.6) on one side and (2.11)-(2.12) on the other side are related by

$$E_1(X, PY) = g(A_N X, PY), \tag{2.13}$$

$$D_1(X, PY) = g\left(A^*_\xi X, PY\right), \tag{2.14}$$

$$u_1(X) = -\rho_1(X),$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. From (2.7) and (2.14) we derive

$$A^*_\xi \xi = 0. \tag{2.15}$$

A half-lightlike submanifold (M, g) of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is said to be totally umbilical in $\bar{M}$ if there is a normal vector field $\acute{Z} \in \Gamma(tr(TM))$ on M, called an affine normal curvature vector field of M, such that

$$h(X,Y) = D_1(X,Y)N + D_2(X,Y)u = \acute{Z}\bar{g}(X,Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

In particular, (M, g) is said to be totally geodesic if its second fundamental form $h(X,Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. By direct calculation it is easy to see that M is totally geodesic if and only if both the lightlike and the screen second fundamental tensors D_1 and D_2 respectively vanish on M. Moreover, from (2.5), (2.9), (2.10) and (2.14) we obtain

$$A_\xi = A_u = \varepsilon_1 = \rho_2 = 0.$$

The notion of screen locally conformal half-lightlike submanifolds has been introduced by Duggal-Sahin [4] as follows.

Definition 2.2. *A half-lightlike submanifold M, of a semi-Riemannian manifold, is called screen locally conformal if on any coordinate neighborhood U there exists a non-zero smooth function φ such that for any null vector field $\xi \in \Gamma\left(TM^\perp\right)$ the relation*

$$A_N X = \varphi A^*_\xi X, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma\left(TM_{|U}\right) \tag{2.16}$$

holds between the shape operators A_N and A^*_ξ of M and $S(TM)$ respectively[4].

On the other hand the notion of minimal lightlike submanifolds has been defined by Bejancu-Duggal as follows.

Definition 2.3. *Let M be a half-lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $\bar{M}$. Then, we say that M is a minimal half-lightlike submanifold if $\left(tr\ |_{S(TM)}\ h = 0\right)$ and $\varepsilon_1(X) = 0$.*

Definition 2.4. *A half-lightlike submanifold M is said to be irrotational if $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, where $\xi \in \Gamma(RadTM)$*[4].

For a half-lightlike M, since $D_1(X, \xi) = 0$, the above definition is equivalent to $D_2(X, \xi) = 0 = \varepsilon_1(X), \forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let M be an irrotational screen conformal half-lightlike submanifold of a semi-Riemannian manifold $\bar{M}$. Then*

1. *M is totally geodesic,*
2. *M is totally umbilical,*
3. *M is minimal,*

if and only if a leaf M' of any $S(TM)$ is so immersed as a submanifold of $\bar{M}$[4].

3. PLANAR NORMAL SECTIONS OF HALF-LIGHTLIKE HYPERSURFACES IN R^4_2

In this section we consider half-lightlike submanifolds having planar normal section. First, we consider degenerate planar normal sections.

3.1. Degenerate Planar Normal Section in Half-Lightlike Hypersurfaces.

Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface in R^4_2. Now we investigate the conditions for a half-lightlike hypersurface of R^4_2 to have degenerate planar normal sections.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface in R^4_2. Then M has planar normal sections if and only if*

$$D_2(\xi, \xi)\, u \wedge \bar{\nabla}_\xi D_2(\xi, \xi)\, u = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

where D_2 is the screen second fundamental form of M.

Proof. If γ is a null curve, for a point p in M, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'(s) &= \xi, && (3.2)\\ \gamma''(s) &= \bar{\nabla}_\xi \xi = \nabla_\xi \xi + D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u, && (3.3)\\ \gamma'''(s) &= \nabla_\xi \nabla_\xi \xi + D_2(\nabla_\xi \xi,\xi)\,u && (3.4)\\ &\quad + \xi(D_2(\xi,\xi))\,u + D_2(\xi,\xi)(-A_u\xi + \varepsilon_1(\xi)N). \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of planar normal section and using $Rad(TM) = Sp\{\xi\}$, we get

$$\nabla_\xi \xi \wedge \xi = 0 \text{ and } \nabla_\xi \nabla_\xi \xi \wedge \xi = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Assume that M has planar degenerate normal sections. Then

$$\gamma'''(s) \wedge \gamma''(s) \wedge \gamma'(s) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, by using (3.2)-(3.5) in (3.6) one can see that $D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u$ and $D_2(\nabla_\xi \xi,\xi)\,u + \xi(D_2(\xi,\xi))\,u - D_2(\xi,\xi)\,A_u\xi + D_2(\xi,\xi)\,\varepsilon_1(\xi)\,N$ are linearly dependent. Taking covariant derivative of $D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u$ we obtain

$$\bar{\nabla}_\xi (D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u) = \xi(D_2(\xi,\xi))\,u - D_2(\xi,\xi)\,A_u\xi + D_2(\xi,\xi)\,\varepsilon_1(\xi)\,N$$

where γ is assumed to be parameterized by distinguished parameter. Hence we get

$$D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u \wedge \bar{\nabla}_\xi D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u = 0.$$

Conversely, assume that $D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u \wedge \bar{\nabla}_\xi D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u = 0$ for degenerate tangent vector ξ of M at p. In this case, either $D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u = 0$ or $\bar{\nabla}_\xi D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u = 0$. If $D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u = 0$, then M is totally geodesic in $\bar{M}$ and M is totally umbilical. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'(s) &= \xi\,, \\ \gamma''(s) &= u_1(\xi)\,\xi, && (3.7)\\ \gamma'''(s) &= \nabla_\xi \nabla_\xi \xi = \xi(u_1(\xi)\,\xi) + u_1^2(\xi)\,\xi. \end{aligned}$$

which give that M has degenerate planar normal sections. On the other hand if $\bar{\nabla}_\xi D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u = 0$, then M is screen conformal. Hence we have

$$\gamma'''(s) \wedge \gamma''(s) \wedge \gamma'(s) = \xi \wedge D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u \wedge \bar{\nabla}_\xi D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u = 0.$$

□

Now we define a function

$$\begin{aligned} L_p : RadT_pM &\to R, \\ \xi &\to L_p(\xi) = D_2^2(\xi,\xi)\,\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

where $p \in M$ and $\gamma(0) = p$. If $L_p(\xi) = D_2^2(\xi,\xi)\,\epsilon = 0$, then we obtain $D_2(\xi,\xi) = 0$ and $\varepsilon_1(\xi) = 0$. From (3.7) we find $\gamma'''(s) \wedge \gamma''(s) \wedge \gamma'(s) = 0$. Hence, M has degenerate planar normal sections.

We say that the curve γ has a vertex at the point p if the curvature κ of γ satisfies $\frac{d\kappa^2(p)}{ds} = 0$ and $\kappa^2 = \langle \gamma''(s), \gamma''(s) \rangle$. Now let M has degenerate planar normal sections. Then $L_p = 0$, and so $D_2(\xi,\xi) = 0$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(\xi,\xi) &= D_2(\xi,\xi)u = 0, \\ \left(\bar{\nabla}_\xi h\right)(\xi,\xi) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which give $\bar{\nabla} h = 0$. Moreover, we have

$$\epsilon\kappa^2(s) = \langle \gamma''(s), \gamma''(s) \rangle = 0$$

for any $p \in M$.

Consequently, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface in R_2^4 with degenerate planar normal sections such that*

$$\begin{aligned} L_p : RadT_pM &\rightarrow R, \\ \xi &\rightarrow L_p(\xi) = D_2^2(\xi,\xi)\,\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

where $p \in M$. Then the following statements are equivalent

(1) $D_2(\xi,\xi) = 0$,
(2) $\left(\bar{\nabla}_\xi h\right)(\xi,\xi) = 0$,
(3) $\bar{\nabla} h = 0$,
(4) *For any $p \in M$, $\kappa = 0$.*

Now, let a half-lightlike hypersurface M of R_2^4 has degenerate planar normal sections. Then for null vector $\xi \in RadTM$, we have

$$\nabla_\xi \xi \neq 0 \tag{3.8}$$

where $\xi = \gamma'(s)$, namely, the normal section γ is not a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p. Then from (3.2)-(3.4) we write

$$\gamma'''(s) = a(s)\,\gamma''(s) + b(s)\,\gamma'(s)\,.$$

where, a and b are differentiable functions for all $p \in M$. Hence, we get $D_2(\xi,\xi) = \varepsilon_1(\xi) = 0$.

Consequently, we have the following

Theorem 3.3. *Let a half-lightlike hypersurface M in R_2^4 has degenerate planar normal sections. If the normal section γ at for any p is not a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p, then $D_2 = 0$ at $RadTM$.*

Next, assume that γ is parameterized by distinguish, namely, γ is a geodesic arc on a small neighborhood of $p = \gamma(0)$, i.e., $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0$. Thus, from $u_1(\xi) = \rho_1(\xi) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'(0) &= \xi, \\ \gamma''(0) &= D_2(\xi,\xi)u\ , \\ \gamma'''(0) &= \xi\left(D_2(\xi,\xi)\right)u - D_2(\xi,\xi)\,A_u\xi - \epsilon D_2^2(\xi,\xi)\,N, \\ \bar{\nabla}_\xi D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u &= \xi\left(D_2(\xi,\xi)\right)u - D_2(\xi,\xi)\,A_u\xi - \epsilon D_2^2(\xi,\xi)\,N. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now, let suppose that M has degenerate planar normal sections at $\gamma(0) = p$. Since γ lies in plane through p spanned by ξ and $\{N,u\}$, we write

$$\gamma(s) = p + a(s)\,\xi + b(s)\,N + c(s)\,u \tag{3.10}$$

for some functions a, b and c . Thus, from (3.9) and (3.10), we obtain

$$\gamma'''(s) = a'''(s)\,\xi + b'''(s)\,N + c'''(s)\,u = \bar{\nabla}_\xi D_2(\xi,\xi)\,u. \tag{3.11}$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h(\xi,\xi)\,,h(\xi,w)\rangle &= \left\langle h(\xi,\xi)\,,\bar{\nabla}_w\xi\right\rangle - \langle h(\xi,\xi)\,,\nabla_w\xi\rangle \\ &= \epsilon D_2(\xi,\xi)\,D_2(w,\xi)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

From the symmetry of bilinear forms D_1 and D_2 at $\Gamma(TM)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle h(\xi,\xi), h(\xi,w)\rangle &= \left\langle h(\xi,\xi), \bar{\nabla}_\xi w\right\rangle - \langle h(\xi,\xi), \nabla_\xi w\rangle \\ &= -\langle a'''(s)\xi + b'''(s)N + c'''(s)u, w\rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, from (3.12) and (3.13), we get $D_2 = 0$ at $\Gamma(TM)$. Furthermore, from $\bar{\nabla}_w \xi \in \Gamma(TM)$, ($\xi \in RadTM$ and $w \in \Gamma(TM)$), we see that M is irrotational.

Then we have the following result,

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface of R_2^4 with degenerate planar normal sections. If the normal section γ at for any p is a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p, then M is irrotational.*

Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface in R_2^4 with degenerate planar normal sections. Since γ is a planar curve we write

$$\gamma'''(s) = a(s)\gamma''(s) + b(s)\gamma'(s).$$

where, a and b are differentiable functions for all $p \in M$.Then (3.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} a(s) &= u_1(\xi) + \xi(\ln(D_2(\xi,\xi))), \\ b(s) &= \xi(u_1(\xi)) - D_2(\xi,\xi)\rho_2(\xi)\epsilon - u_1(\xi)\xi(\ln(D_2(\xi,\xi))). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have $\epsilon\kappa^2(s) = \langle\gamma''(s), \gamma''(s)\rangle = 0$ for any $p \in M$ which gives $D_2(\xi,\xi) = \varepsilon_1(\xi) = 0$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'''(s) &= u_1^2(\xi)\xi + u_1(\xi)D_2(\xi,\xi)u \\ &\quad + \xi(\ln(D_2(\xi,\xi)))D_2(\xi,\xi)u \\ &\quad + \xi(u_1(\xi))\xi - \epsilon D_2(\xi,\xi)\rho_2(\xi)\xi \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$A_u\xi = \epsilon\rho_2(\xi)\xi. \tag{3.15}$$

Namely,

Corollary 3.1. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface of R_2^4 with degenerate planar normal sections,then $A_u\xi$ is $RadTM$-valued*

Now, from (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bar{\nabla}_\xi h\right)(\xi,\xi) &= \xi(\ln(D_2(\xi,\xi)))D_2(\xi,\xi)u \\ &\quad - \epsilon D_2(\xi,\xi)\rho_2(\xi)\xi - 2u_1(\xi)D_2(\xi,\xi)u \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface of R_2^4 with degenerate planar normal sections. If the normal section γ at for any p is not a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p, then we obtain

$$D_2(\xi,\xi)u \wedge \left(\bar{\nabla}_\xi h\right)(\xi,\xi) = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Conversely, we assume that the eq. (3.17) is satisfied for any degenerate tangent vector ξ of M. Then either $D_2(\xi,\xi)u = 0$ or $\left(\bar{\nabla}_\xi h\right)(\xi,\xi) = 0$. If $D_2(\xi,\xi)u = 0$, then from Theorem 3.1, we see that M has degenerate planar normal sections. On the other hand, if $\left(\bar{\nabla}_\xi h\right)(\xi,\xi) = 0$, then, by considering (3.5), we obtain

$$\gamma'''(s) \wedge \gamma''(s) \wedge \gamma'(s) = \xi \wedge D_2(\xi,\xi)u \wedge \left(\bar{\nabla}_\xi h\right)(\xi,\xi) = 0.$$

Consequently, we have the following,

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be half-lightlike hypersurface of R_2^4 such that the normal section $\gamma(s)$ at for any p is not a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p. Then half-lightlike hypersurface M has planar normal sections if and only if (3.17) is satisfied.*

Now, let the normal section γ is a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p, namely, $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0 = u_1(\xi)$. Since M has degenerate planar normal sections, we obtain

$$\gamma'''(s) \wedge \gamma''(s) \wedge \gamma'(s) = (\xi \wedge D_2(\xi,\xi) u \wedge D_2(\xi,\xi) A_u \xi) + (\xi \wedge D_2(\xi,\xi) u \wedge D_2(\xi,\xi)\, \varepsilon_1(\xi) N).$$

From corollary3.1, we have $D_2(\xi,\xi) = 0$ and $\varepsilon_1(\xi) = 0$.

Thus we have the following result,

Theorem 3.6. *Let M has degenerate planar normal sections half-lightlike hypersurface of R_2^4. The normal section γ at for any p is a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p. Then $D_2(\xi,\xi) = 0$ or $\varepsilon_1(\xi) = 0$.*

Let M be a screen conformal half-lightlike hypersurface of $R_2^4(c)$ with degenerate planar normal sections. We denote Riemann curvature tensor of $\bar{M}$ and M by $\bar{R}$ and R, the following formula is well known,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}(X,Y)Z = {} & R(X,Y)Z + D_1(X,Z)A_N Y \\ & - D_1(Y,Z)A_N X + D_2(X,Z)A_U Y - D_2(Y,Z)A_U X \\ & + \{(\nabla_X D_1)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y D_1)(X,Z) \\ & + \rho_1(X) D_1(Y,Z) - \rho_1(Y) D_1(X,Z) \\ & + \varepsilon_1(X) D_2(Y,Z) - \varepsilon_1(Y) D_2(X,Z)\} N \\ & + \{(\nabla_X D_2)(Y,Z) - (\nabla_Y D_2)(X,Z) \\ & + \rho_2(X) D_1(Y,Z) - \rho_2(Y) D_1(X,Z)\} u. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}\left(\bar{R}(X,Y)Z, PW\right) = {} & \varphi\left[D_1(X,Z)D_1(Y,PW) - D_1(Y,Z)D_1(X,PW)\right] \\ & + \epsilon\left[D_2(X,Z)D_2(Y,PW) - D_2(Y,Z)D_2(X,PW)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Let $p \in M$ and ξ be a null vector of T_pM. A plane H of T_pM is called a null plane directed by ξ, if it contains ξ, $\bar{g}(\xi, W) = 0$ for any $W \in H$ and there exits $W_0 \in H$ such that $\bar{g}(W_0, W_0) \neq 0$. Then the null sectional curvature of H with respect to ξ and $\bar{\nabla}$ is defined by

$$K_\xi(H) = \frac{R_p(W,\xi,\xi,W)}{g_p(W,W)}. \tag{3.20}$$

Since $v \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(RadTM)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_\xi(H) = {} & \varphi\left[D_1(v,\xi)D_1(\xi,v) - D_1(\xi,\xi)D_1(v,v)\right] \\ & + \epsilon\left[D_2(v,\xi)D_2(\xi,v) - D_2(\xi,\xi)D_2(v,v)\right], \end{aligned}$$

By using $D_1(v,\xi) = 0$ in the last equation we obtain

$$K_\xi(H) = \epsilon\left[D_2(v,\xi)D_2(\xi,v) - D_2(\xi,\xi)D_2(v,v)\right]. \tag{3.21}$$

Consequently, we have the following

Theorem 3.7. *Let M be a screen conformal half-lightlike hypersurface of $R^4_2(c)$ with degenerate planar normal sections. If M is minimal, then $K_\xi(H) = 0$.*

Example 3.1. *Consider a surface M in R^4_2 given by the equation*

$$x^3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(x^1 + x^2\right); \quad x^4 = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)^2\right).$$

Then $TM = Span\{U_1, U_2\}$ and $TM^\perp = Span\{\xi, u\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
U_1 &= \sqrt{2}\left(1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)^2\right)\partial_1 + \left(1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)^2\right)\partial_3 + \sqrt{2}\left(x^1 - x^2\right)\partial_4,\\
U_2 &= \sqrt{2}\left(1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)^2\right)\partial_1 + \left(1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)^2\right)\partial_3 - \sqrt{2}\left(x^1 - x^2\right)\partial_4,\\
\xi &= \partial_1 + \partial_2 + \sqrt{2}\partial_3\\
u &= 2\left(x^2 - x^1\right)\partial_2 + \sqrt{2}\left(x^2 - x^1\right)\partial_3 + \left(1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)\right)\partial_4.
\end{aligned}$$

By straightforward calculations we can see that $RadTM$ is a distribution on M of rank 1 spanned by ξ. Hence M is a half-lightlike submanifold of R^4_2. Choose $S(TM)$ and D spanned by U_2 and u, respectively where U_2 is timelike and u is spacelike. We obtain the null canonical affine normal bundle

$$Itr(TM) = span\left\{N = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_1 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\partial_3\right\},$$

and the canonical affine normal bundle $tr(TM) = Span\{N, u\}$. Then by straightforward calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_{U_2}U_2 &= 2\left(1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)^2\right).\left\{2\left(x^2 - x^1\right)\partial_2 + \sqrt{2}\left(x^2 - x^1\right)\partial_3 + \partial_4\right\},\\
\bar{\nabla}_\xi U_2 &= 0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_X\xi = \bar{\nabla}_X N = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection on R^4_2. Then using the Gauss and Weingarten formulae we find

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= 0;\\
A_\xi &= 0;\\
A_N &= 0;\\
\nabla_X\xi &= 0;\\
\rho_1(X) &= 0;\\
D_2(X, \xi) &= 0;\\
D_2(U_2, U_2) &= 2;\\
\nabla_X U_2 &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}\left(x^2 - x^1\right)^3}{1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)^2}X^2U_{2;}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $X = X^1\xi + X^2U_2$ tangent to M [2]. *Since $D_1 = 0$, it follows that the induced connection ∇ is a metric connection. Using*

$$\bar{g}(U_2, U_2) = -\left(1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)^4\right)$$

we have

$$D_2(U_2, U_2) = H_2\bar{g}(U_2, U_2), \quad H_2 = -\frac{1}{\left(1 + \left(x^1 - x^2\right)^4\right)}.$$

Therefore, M is a totally umbilical half-lightlike submanifold of R_2^4. Then by straightforward calculations we obtain

$$D_2(\xi,\xi)=0.$$

Therefore, the intersection of M and $E(p,\xi)$ gives a lightlike curve γ in a neighborhood of p, which is called the normal section of M at the point p in the direction of ξ, namely

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'(s) &= \xi,\\ \gamma''(s) &= \bar{\nabla}_\xi \xi = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\gamma'''(s)\wedge\gamma''(s)\wedge\gamma'(s)=0.$$

3.2. **Non- Degenerate Planar Normal Section in Half-Lightlike Submanifolds.**

In this subsection we investigate the conditions for a screen conformal half-lightlike hypersurface M of R_2^4 to have non-degenerate planar normal sections.

Theorem 3.8. *M be a screen conformal half-lightlike hypersurface in R_2^4. M has spacelike planar normal sections if and only if*

$$T(v,v)\wedge\bar{\nabla}_v T(v,v)=0 \tag{3.22}$$

where $v\in\Gamma(S(TM))$ and $T(v,v)=E_1(v,v)\,\xi+D_1(v,v)\,N+D_2(v,v)\,u$.

Proof. Let M be a screen conformal half-lightlike submanifold and γ a spacelike curve on M. Then we have

$$\begin{align}
\gamma'(s) &= v\ , \tag{3.23}\\
\gamma''(s) &= \bar{\nabla}_v v=\nabla^*_v v+E_1(v,v)\,\xi+D_1(v,v)\,N+D_2(v,v)\,u, \tag{3.24}\\
\gamma'''(s) &= \nabla^*_v\nabla^*_v v+E_1(v,\nabla^*_v v)\,\xi+D_1(v,\nabla^*_v v)\,N+D_2(v,\nabla^*_v v)\,u \notag\\
&\quad +v(E_1(v,v))\,\xi+v(D_1(v,v))\,N+v(D_2(v,v))\,u \notag\\
&\quad -E_1(v,v)\,A^*_\xi v+E_1(v,v)\,u_1(v)\,\xi+E_1(v,v)\,D_2(v,\xi)\,u \notag\\
&\quad -D_1(v,v)\,A_N v+D_1(v,v)\,\rho_1(v)\,N+D_1(v,v)\,\rho_2(v)\,u \notag\\
&\quad -D_2(v,v)\,A_u v+D_2(v,v)\,\varepsilon_1(v)\,N \tag{3.25}
\end{align}$$

where ∇^* is the induced connection of M' and $\gamma'(s)=v$, $\gamma'(0)=v$. From definition planar normal section and $S(TM)=Sp\{v\}$ we have

$$v\wedge\nabla^*_v v=0 \text{ and } v\wedge\nabla^*_v\nabla^*_v v=0. \tag{3.26}$$

Assume that M has planar non-degenerate normal sections. Then we have

$$\gamma'''(s)\wedge\gamma''(s)\wedge\gamma'(s)=0.$$

Thus from (3.26)

$$E_1(v,v)\,\xi+D_1(v,v)\,N+D_2(v,v)\,u$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_1(v,\nabla_v^* v)\,\xi + D_1(v,\nabla_v^* v)\,N + D_2(v,\nabla_v^* v)\,u\\
&+v\left(E_1(v,v)\right)\xi + v\left(D_1(v,v)\right)N + v\left(D_2(v,v)\right)u\\
&-E_1(v,v)\,A_\xi^* v + E_1(v,v)\,u_1(v)\,\xi + E_1(v,v)\,D_2(v,\xi)\,u\\
&-D_1(v,v)\,A_N v + D_1(v,v)\,\rho_1(v)\,N + D_1(v,v)\,\rho_2(v)\,u\\
&-D_2(v,v)\,A_u v + D_2(v,v)\,\varepsilon_1(v)\,N.
\end{aligned}$$

are linearly dependent. We put

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar\nabla_v T(v,v) \;=\;& E_1(v,\nabla_v^* v)\,\xi + D_1(v,\nabla_v^* v)\,N + D_2(v,\nabla_v^* v)\,u\\
&+v\left(E_1(v,v)\right)\xi + v\left(D_1(v,v)\right)N + v\left(D_2(v,v)\right)u\\
&-E_1(v,v)\,A_\xi^* v + E_1(v,v)\,u_1(v)\,\xi + E_1(v,v)\,D_2(v,\xi)\,u\\
&-D_1(v,v)\,A_N v + D_1(v,v)\,\rho_1(v)\,N + D_1(v,v)\,\rho_2(v)\,u\\
&-D_2(v,v)\,A_u v + D_2(v,v)\,\varepsilon_1(v)\,N
\end{aligned}$$

where γ is assumed to be parameterized by arc-length. Thus, we obtain

$$T(v,v)\wedge\bar\nabla_v T(v,v) = 0.$$

Conversely, we assume that $T(v,v)\wedge\bar\nabla_v T(v,v) = 0$ for a spacelike tangent vector v of M at p. Then either $T(v,v) = 0$ or $\bar\nabla_v T(v,v) = 0$. If $T(v,v) = 0$, then from (3.23), (3.24), (3.25) and (3.26), M has degenerate planar normal sections. If $\bar\nabla_v T(v,v) = 0$, from (3.26), we obtain

$$\gamma'''(s)\wedge\gamma''(s)\wedge\gamma'(s) = v\wedge T(v,v)\wedge\bar\nabla_v T(v,v) = 0.$$

□

Example 3.2. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface of the 4- dimensional semi-Riemann space $\left(R_2^4,\overline{g}\right)$ of index 2, as given in example3.1. Now, for a point p in M and a spacelike vector U_2 tangent to M at p $(U_2\in S(TM))$, the vector U_2 and transversal space $tr(TM)$ to M at p determine an 2- dimensional subspace $E(p,U_2)$ in R_2^4 through p. The intersection of M and $E(p,U_2)$ gives a spacelike curve γ in a neighborhood of p. Now we research half-lightlike hypersurfaces of R_2^4 semi-Riemannian manifold have to condition non-degenerate planar normal sections. Since Hence, we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma' &= U_2 = \sqrt{2}\left(1+\left(x^1-x^2\right)^2\right)\partial_1 + \left(1+\left(x^1-x^2\right)^2\right)\partial_3 - \sqrt{2}\left(x^1-x^2\right)\partial_4,\\
\gamma'' &= \bar\nabla_{U_2}U_2 = 2\left(1+\left(x^1-x^2\right)^2\right).\left\{2\left(x^2-x^1\right)\partial_2 + \sqrt{2}\left(x^2-x^1\right)\partial_3 + \partial_4\right\},\\
\gamma''' &= \bar\nabla_{U_2}\bar\nabla_{U_2}U_2 = \nabla_{U_2}\bar\nabla_{U_2}U_2 + D\left(U_2,\bar\nabla_{U_2}U_2\right)u\\
&= \sqrt{2}\left(1+\left(x^1-x^2\right)^2\right)\left[\begin{array}{c} 4\left(1+3\left(\left(x^1-x^2\right)^2\right)\right)\partial_2\\ +2\sqrt{2}\left(1+3\left(\left(x^1-x^2\right)^2\right)\right)\partial_3 - 4\left(x^1-x^2\right)\partial_4\end{array}\right]\\
&\quad+\frac{4\sqrt{2}\left(x^2-x^1\right)^3}{\left(1+\left(x^1-x^2\right)^4\right)}\left(1+\left(x^1-x^2\right)^2\right)\left[\begin{array}{c} 2\left(x^2-x^1\right)\partial_2\\ +\sqrt{2}\left(x^2-x^1\right)\partial_3 + \left(1+x^1-x^2\right)\partial_4\end{array}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by direct calculations we find

$$E_1\left(U_2,U_2\right) = 0, \tag{3.27}$$

$$E_1\left(U_2,\nabla_{U_2}^* U_2\right) = 0. \tag{3.28}$$

Thus from (3.27) - (3.28) , $T(U_2, U_2)$ *and* $\bar{\nabla}_{U_2} T(U_2, U_2)$ *are linearly dependent.*

Example 3.3. *Consider a surface* M *in* R_1^4 *given by the equation*

$$x_1 = x_3, x_2 = (1 - x_4)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Hence, the natural frames field on M *is globally*

$$\begin{aligned} X_{x_1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \\ X_{x_4} &= -\frac{x_4}{x_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} TM &= Sp\left\{\xi = \partial x_1 + \partial x_3, v = -x_4 \partial x_2 + x_2 \partial x_4\right\} \\ TM^{\perp} &= Sp\left\{\xi = \partial x_1 + \partial x_3, u = x_2 \partial x_2 + x_4 \partial x_2\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $RadTM = Sp\{\xi\}$, $S(TM) = Sp\{v\}$, $S(TM^{\perp}) = Sp\{u\}$ *and* $ltr(TM) = Sp\left\{N = \frac{1}{2}(\partial x_1 + \partial x_3)\right\}$, *which show* M *is a half-lightlike submanifold of* R_1^4. *Then using the Gauss and Weingarten formulae we find*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_v \xi &= \nabla_v \xi + D_2(v, \xi) u = -A_{\xi}^* v + D_2(v, \xi) u \\ \bar{g}(\nabla_v \xi, v) &= -\bar{g}\left(A_{\xi}^* v, v\right), \end{aligned}$$

On account of $\nabla_v \xi = 0$, *we have*

$$\bar{g}\left(A_{\xi}^* v, v\right) = 0 \Rightarrow A_{\xi}^* v = 0.$$

Moreover, from (2.5) and by straightforward calculations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_v N &= -A_N v + \rho_1(v) N + \rho_2(v) u \\ \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_v N, v\right) &= -\bar{g}(A_N v, v) \\ \bar{g}\left(N, \bar{\nabla}_v v\right) &= \bar{g}(A_N v, v) \\ \bar{g}(N, \nabla_v v) &= \bar{g}(A_N v, v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_v v &= (v[0], v[-x_4], v[0], v[x_2]) = (0, -x_2, 0, -x_4) \\ \bar{g}(N, \nabla_v v) &= \bar{g}\left(\frac{1}{2}(-1, 0, 1, 0), (0, -x_2, 0, -x_4)\right) = \bar{g}(A_N v, v) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow A_N v = 0 \end{aligned}$$

or $A_N v \in RadTM$. *Using (2.4), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_v v &= \nabla_v v + D_1(v, v) N + D_2(v, v) u \\ &\Rightarrow D_1(v, v) = \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_v v, \xi\right) = -\bar{g}\left(v, \bar{\nabla}_v \xi\right) \\ &\Rightarrow D_1(v, v) = \bar{g}\left(A_{\xi}^* v, v\right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and since $D_1(v, \xi) = 0$, *we have* $D_1 = 0$. *Using by (2.4), we obtain*

$$D_2(v, v)\epsilon = \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_v v, u\right) = -\bar{g}\left(v, \bar{\nabla}_v u\right) = \bar{g}(v, A_u v).$$

Since

$$\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_v \bar{\nabla}_v v, N) = \bar{g}(A_u v, N) = 0$$

$A_u v \in S(TM)$ *and by straightforward calculations we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\nabla}_v \bar{\nabla}_v v &= \nabla_v \nabla_v v + D_2\left(v, \nabla_v v\right) u + A_u v - \varepsilon_1\left(u\right) N \\
\bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_v \bar{\nabla}_v v, u\right) &= D_2\left(v, \nabla_v v\right) \epsilon = -\bar{g}\left(v, \nabla_v v\right) = 2x_2x_4 \\
\bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_v \bar{\nabla}_v v, \xi\right) &= -\varepsilon_1\left(u\right) \\
\rho_1\left(v\right) &= \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_v N, \xi\right) = -\bar{g}\left(A_N v, \xi\right) = 0 \\
\rho_2\left(v\right) &= \epsilon \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_v N, u\right) = -\epsilon \bar{g}\left(A_N v, u\right) = 0 \\
\varepsilon_1\left(u\right) &= \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_v v, \bar{\nabla}_v \xi\right) = 0 \Rightarrow D_2\left(v, \xi\right) = 0 \\
\epsilon D_2\left(v, v\right) &= \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_v v, u\right) = -\bar{g}\left(v, A_u v\right) = -\bar{g}\left(v, v\right) = -1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $v \in S(TM)$ *and* $p \in M$. *We denote subspace*

$$E\left(p, v\right) = \{v\} \cup tr\left(TM\right).$$

and we have

$$E\left(p, v\right) \cap M = \gamma.$$

where γ *is the normal section of* M *at* p *in the direction of* v. *Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma'\left(s\right) &= v = -x_4 \partial x_2 + x_2 \partial x_4 \\
\gamma''\left(s\right) &= \bar{\nabla}_v v = \nabla_v v + D_2\left(v, v\right) u = -2x_2 \partial x_2 - 2x_4 \partial x_4 \\
\gamma'''\left(s\right) &= \bar{\nabla}_v \bar{\nabla}_v v = \big(2x_4 + 4x_2^2 x_4)\partial x_2 + (-2x_2 + 2x_2 x_4^2\big) \partial x_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\gamma'''\left(s\right) \wedge \gamma''\left(s\right) \wedge \gamma'\left(s\right) = 0,$$

that is M *has non-degenerate planar normal sections.*

Proposition 3.1. *Let* M *be a half-lightlike hypersurface in* R_2^4. *If* M *has planar normal sections, then*

$$\nabla_v^* v = 0 \tag{3.29}$$

where γ *is normal section in the direction* $v = \gamma'\left(s\right)$ *for* $v \in \Gamma\left(S(TM)\right)$.

Proof. From $v \in S(TM)$ we have

$$\langle v, v\rangle = 1 \Rightarrow \langle v, \nabla_v^* v\rangle = 0. \tag{3.30}$$

Using the definition of normal section and (3.30) we complete the proof. □

Now we define a function L by

$$L(p, v) = L_p\left(v\right) = \langle T(v, v), T(v, v)\rangle$$

on $\cup_p M$, where $\bigcup_p M = \left\{ v \in \Gamma\left(TM\right) \mid \langle v, v\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 \right\}$. If $L \neq 0$, then M has non-degenerate pointwise normal sections. By a vertex of curve γ we mean a point p on γ such that its curvature κ satisfies $\frac{d\kappa^2(0)}{ds} = 0$. Let M has planar normal sections. From proposition3.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \kappa^2\left(s\right) &= 2E_1\left(v, v\right) D_1\left(v, v\right) + D_2^2\left(v, v\right) \epsilon, \\
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\kappa^2\left(0\right)}{ds} &= v(E_1\left(v, v\right) D_1\left(v, v\right)) + v(D_2\left(v, v\right)) D_2\left(v, v\right) \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

If M is totally geodesic, then $D_1 = D_2 = 0$. Thus γ has a vertex.

Consequently, we have the following

Theorem 3.9. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface of R_2^4. If M has non-degenerate planar normal sections and submanifold is totally geodesic at $p \in M$, then normal section curve γ has a vertex at $p \in M$.*

Theorem 3.10. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface of R_2^4. with planar normal sections. Then normal section curve γ has a vertex and submanifold is totally geodesic if and only if M is minimal.*

Proof. If M is totally geodesic, then from $(tr\ |_{S(TM)}\ h = 0)$ and $\varepsilon_1(\xi) = 0$, we conclude. □

From theorem3.7 and theorem3.9, we give

Theorem 3.11. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface in $R_2^4(c)$ with planar normal sections. Then $K_\xi(H) = 0$ if and only if normal section curve γ has a vertex at $p \in M$ where $\xi \in \Gamma(RadTM)$*

Theorem 3.12. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface of R_2^4 and the normal section γ at for any p be a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p. Then M has non-degenerate planar normal sections if and only if*

$$h(v,v) \wedge (\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v) = 0$$

where is $h(v,v) = D_1(v,v)N + D_2(v,v)u$.

Proof. If normal section γ at for any p is a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma'(s) &= v \\ \gamma''(s) &= D_1(v,v)N + D_2(v,v)u \\ \gamma'''(s) &= v(D_1(v,v))N + v(D_2(v,v))u \\ &\quad -D_1(v,v)A_N v + D_1(v,v)\rho_1(v)N \\ &\quad +D_1(v,v)\rho_2(v)u - D_2(v,v)A_u v \\ &\quad +D_2(v,v)\varepsilon_1(v)N. \end{aligned}$$

Since γ is a planar curve then we get

$$v \wedge (D_1(v,v)N + D_2(v,v)u) \wedge \begin{pmatrix} v(D_1(v,v))N + v(D_2(v,v))u \\ -D_1(v,v)A_N v + D_1(v,v)\rho_1(v)N \\ +D_1(v,v)\rho_2(v)u - D_2(v,v)A_u v \\ +D_2(v,v)\varepsilon_1(v)N \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore, by taking the covariant derivative of

$$h(v,v) = D_1(v,v)N + D_2(v,v)u,$$

we obtain

$$(\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v) = \bar{\nabla}_v h(v,v) = \gamma'''(s).$$

which gives

$$\gamma'''(s) \wedge \gamma''(s) \wedge \gamma'(s) = v \wedge h(v,v) \wedge (\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v) = 0.$$

From the last equation above, we have

$$h(v,v) \wedge (\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v) = 0.$$

Conversely, we assume that $h(v,v) \wedge (\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v) = 0$. In this case, we have either $h(v,v) = 0$ or $(\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v) = 0$. If $h(v,v) = 0$, we have $D_1(v,v) = 0$ and $D_2(v,v) = 0$. In this way, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_\xi v &= -A_v \xi + \varepsilon_1(\xi) N \\ &\Rightarrow \ \bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_\xi v, \xi\right) = -\bar{g}(A_v \xi, \xi) + \varepsilon_1(\xi) \\ 0 &= \varepsilon_1(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that M is minimal and has planar normal sections. On the other hand if $(\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v) = 0$, from $(\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v) = \bar{\nabla}_v h(v,v) = \gamma'''(s) = 0$, we obtain

$$\gamma'''(s) \wedge \gamma''(s) \wedge \gamma'(s) = 0,$$

that is M has non-degenerate planar normal sections. □

We also have the following result

Theorem 3.13. *Let M be a half-lightlike hypersurface in R_2^4 and the normal section γ at for any p be a geodesic arc on a sufficiently small neighborhood of p. Then the following statements are equivalent;*

(1) $(\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v) = 0$,
(2) $\bar{\nabla} h = 0$,
(3) *M has non degenerate planar normal sections of $p \in M$ and γ has vertex point at $p \in M$,*
(4) $D_2 = 0$ *in* $S(TM)$.

Proof. For curvature κ at p point of γ, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon\kappa^2(s) &= \langle \gamma''(s), \gamma''(s) \rangle \\ &= D_2^2(v,v)\,\epsilon \\ \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\frac{d\kappa^2(s)}{ds} &= v(D_2(v,v))\,D_2(v,v)\,\epsilon \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

and from $\epsilon\kappa^2(s) = \langle \gamma''(s), \gamma''(s) \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\frac{d\kappa^2(s)}{ds} &= \langle \gamma'''(s), \gamma''(s) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle (\bar{\nabla}_v h)(v,v), h(v,v) \right\rangle \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.32}$$

Hence, from (3.31) and (3.32), we obtain $D_2(v,v) = 0$. From here, we complete the proof. □

References

[1] O'Neill B.: Semi Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity: Academic Press 1983
[2] Duggal K.L. and Bejancu A.: Lightlike Submanifolds of Semi-Riemannian Manifolds and Applications: Kluwer Academic Publıshers, 364
[3] Duggal K.L. and Jin D.H.: Null Curves and Hypersurfaces of Semi Riemannian Manifolds: World Scientific,2007
[4] Duggal K.L. and Sahin B.: Differential Geometry of Lightlike Submanifolds: Springer Birkhause,2010
[5] Kim Y.H.: Minimal surfaces of pseudo-Euclidean spaces with geodesic normal sections.Differential Geometry and its Applications. 5, 321-329 (1995)

[6] Chen B.Y.: Classification of Surfaces with Planar Normal Sections. Journal of Geometry Vol.20 (1983)

[7] Kim Y.H.: Pseudo-Riemannian Submanifolds with Pointwise Planar Normal Sections. Math. J. Okayama Univ.34, 249-257 (1992)

[8] Li S.J.: Submanifolds with Pointwise Planar Normal Sections in a sphere. J.geom. 70, 101-107 (2001)

[9] Kim Y.H.: Surfaces in a Pseudo-Euclidean Space With Planar Normal Sections. Journal of Geometry Vol.35 (1989).

Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, Adiyaman University, 02040 Adiyaman, TURKEY
E-mail address: `ferdogan@adiyaman.edu.tr`

Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, İnönü University, 44280 Malatya, TURKEY
E-mail address: `rifat.gunes@inonu.edu.tr`

Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, İnönü University, 44280 Malatya, TURKEY
E-mail address: `bayram.sahin@adiyaman.edu.tr`